

Cabinet

On 25 January 2011

Report Title.

Treasury Management Strategy Statement 2011/12 to 2013/14

41111

Nicola Webb, Head of Finance: Treasury & Pensions

Report of:

Director of Corporate Resources

Signed:

_

Telephone 020 8489 3726

Wards(s) affected: All

Contact Officer:

Report for: Non Key Decision

1. Purpose of the report

1.1 To present the proposed Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2011/12 to 2013/14 to Cabinet prior to it being presented to full Council for final approval.

2. Introduction by Cabinet Member for Finance & Sustainability (Councillor J Goldberg)

- 2.1 It is a requirement of the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice that full Council approves a Treasury Management Strategy Statement on an annual basis to reflect the importance of members being fully involved in deciding how treasury management is operated.
- 2.2 The strategy of running low cash balances is proposed to continue in the coming year because short term investment rates are projected to stay low. This strategy would mean that borrowing is only undertaken as it is required and so avoids incurring high long term borrowing costs for longer than necessary.
- 2.3 The proposed strategy sets out an extension to the Council's lending list to include European banks to provide more capacity and increase the amount of interest earned by the Council on it's balances. This proposal has been carefully considered before being put forward and advice has been taken from the Council's treasury management advisers to ensure the security of the Council's monies is

not compromised. Officers will of course keep the creditworthiness of those financial institutions with whom we are prepared to lend under close scrutiny.

3. Recommendation

3.1 That the proposed Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2011/12 to 21013/14 at Appendix A be recommended to Council for final approval.

4. Reason for recommendation

4.1 To ensure a Treasury Management Strategy is in place in advance of the financial year 2011/12 as required by the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice.

5. Summary

- 5.1 This report sets out the proposed Treasury Management Strategy Statement for financial years 2011/12 to 2013/14 in accordance with the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice. The strategy was considered by General Purposes Committee on 11th January 2011 and they have recommended that it is approved.
- 5.2 The proposed borrowing strategy is to keep borrowing to a minimum due to the "cost of carry" resulting from the difference in short term and medium/long term interest rates.
- 5.3 Some limited additions to the Council's lending list are proposed in order to provide some further capacity. These proposals are put forward having taken advice from the Council's treasury management advisers and are prudent enough to ensure the credit quality of the Council's investment portfolio remains high.

6. Head of Legal Services Comments

6.1 The Head of Legal Services has been consulted on the content of this report and comments that its content and recommendation are within the policy agreed by Council and consistent with the purposes of Financial Regulations. In considering the report Members must take into account the expert financial advice available in the report and any further advice given at the meeting in relation to the level of risk inherent in the proposals.

7. Use of appendices

7.1 Appendix A: Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Investment Strategy 2011/12 to 2013/14.

8. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

- 8.1 The following background papers were used in the preparation of this report:
 - Financial Planning report for 2010/11 to 2012/13 reported to Council and agreed on 22nd February 2010.
 - Treasury Management reports to General Purposes Committee 28th June 2010, 23rd September 2010 and 11th January 2011.

For access to the background papers or any further information please contact Nicola Webb, Head of Finance: Treasury & Pensions, on 0208 489 3726.

9. Background

- 9.1 The CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice requires all local authorities to agree a Treasury Management Strategy Statement including an Investment Strategy annually in advance of the financial year. The strategy should incorporate the setting of the Council's prudential indicators for the three forthcoming financial years.
- 9.2 Following the publication of the revised CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice in 2009, the Council's constitution was amended to incorporate treasury management reporting requirements. General Purposes Committee are now required to receive the Treasury Management Strategy Statement in advance of it being submitted to Cabinet and full Council for final approval. General Purposes Committee considered the Strategy Statement on 11th January 2011 and recommended that it is approved. A further requirement is for the strategy to be scrutinised in advance of approval by Council and so it will be presented to Audit Committee on 3rd February 2011 for this purpose.

10. Proposed Treasury Management Strategy Statement

- 10.1 The proposed Treasury Management Strategy Statement is set out in Appendix A to this report. The document includes the following:
 - Borrowing (section 3)
 - Investments (section 4)
 - Monitoring (section 8)

- 10.2 The key factor to note in considering the strategy for 2011/12 is that short term interest rates are expected to remain very low throughout the year in contrast to medium and long term rates. This means that there will be a "cost of carry" if funds are borrowed in advance of capital expenditure being incurred. Therefore the Council anticipates continuing to run a strategy of keeping cash balances low and invested short term and to borrow only when required.
- 10.3 The proposed prudential indicators set out in the report do not reflect the funding of Decent Homes. The government have not yet made clear how much will be allocated to the Council or how it will be funded. Once this is clear, the prudential indicators will need to be revisited and if necessary revised. Any revisions to the indicators will need to be approved by full Council.

11. Proposed additions to approved Investment counterparties and instruments

- 11.1 The proposed investment strategy for 2011/12 is set out in section 4 and annexes 4 and 5 of Appendix A. There are two proposed additions to the existing approved lending list:
 - Addition of Nat West and Standard Chartered to the list of UK banks
 - Deposits with non UK banks

There is also a proposed addition to the types of instruments which can be used:

- UK Treasury Bills issued by the Debt Management Office
- 11.2 The Council's treasury management advisers have recommended the addition of UK banks Standard Chartered and Nat West. The long term credit rating of Standard Chartered has been upgraded following an improvement in the level of its balances. It is now clear that Nat West has a long term future within the RBS Group and with stable ratings, it is recommended that it is added to the lending list.
- 11.3 UK Treasury Bills are issued by HM Treasury's Debt Management Office and so are guaranteed by the UK government. They are proposed for inclusion as they offer a better rate of return than the Debt Management Account Deposit Facility, albeit with less flexibility on term (they are only available for periods of 1 month, 3 months and 6 months) with the same level of security.
- 11.4 In order to provide a wider range of creditworthy counterparties for the Council to invest with, it is proposed to add a limited range of non-UK banks to the Council's lending list. The banks it is proposed to add are all based in AAA rated European countries and have minimum long term credit ratings of A+ and in fact many are more highly rated than the UK banks already on the list. The table overleaf demonstrates this as it lists the banks in descending order of their current lowest long term rating.

UK Banks – Long term ratings		Non UK Banks – Long term ratings		
		Rabobank	AA+	
HSBC	AA			
Barclays Bank	AA-	Nordea Bank	AA-	
Santander UK	AA-	BNP Paribas	AA-	
		Credit Agricole CIB	AA-	
		Credit Agricole SA	AA-	
		Svenska Handelsbanken	AA-	
Bank of Scotland	A+	Deutsche Bank	A+	
Lloyds TSB	A+	Credit Suisse	A+	
Clydesdale Bank	A+	Societe Generale	A+	
Nationwide Building Soc	A+	ING Bank	A+	
Royal Bank of Scotland	A+			
Nat West	A+			
Standard Chartered	A+			

- 11.5 It is proposed that these banks have a lower credit limit than the UK banks £15m compared to £20m and there is a maximum period of investment of 6 months. It is also proposed that investments in any one country's banks are limited to a maximum of 10% at the time of investment, excluding the UK.
- 11.6 All counterparties, and the countries in which they are based, are kept under continual review by officers and the Council's treasury management advisers, Arlingclose Ltd. In the event any information reveals a concern about a counterparty's creditworthiness or the country in which it is based, it will be removed from the lending list with immediate effect and no new investments entered into. Sections 4.4 and 4.5 of Appendix A set out the range of information monitored.

12. Recommendation

12.1 That the proposed Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2011/12 to 21013/14 at Appendix A be recommended to Council for final approval.

Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Investment Strategy 2011/12 to 2013/14

Contents

- 1. Background
- 2. Balance Sheet and Treasury Position
- 3. Borrowing Strategy
- 4. Investment Policy and Strategy
- 5. Outlook for Interest Rates
- 6. Balanced Budget Requirement
- 7. MRP Statement
- 8. Monitoring and Reporting
- 9. Other Issues

Annexes

- 1. Current and Projected Portfolio Position
- 2. Summary of Prudential Indicators
- 3. Arlingclose's Economic and Interest Rate Forecast
- 4. Specified and Non specified Investments
- 5. Lending List of counterparties for investments

1. Background

- 1.1 The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Code of Practice for Treasury Management in Public Services (the "CIPFA TM Code") and the Prudential Code require local authorities to determine the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) and Prudential Indicators on an annual basis. The TMSS also incorporates the Investment Strategy as required under the Communities and Local Government (CLG) Department's Investment Guidance.
- 1.2 CIPFA has defined Treasury Management as:

 "the management of the organisation's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."
- 1.3 The Council is responsible for its treasury decisions and activity. No treasury management activity is without risk. The successful identification, monitoring and control of risk are integral elements of treasury management activities and include Credit and Counterparty Risk, Liquidity Risk, Market or Interest Rate Risk, Refinancing Risk and Legal and Regulatory Risk.
- 1.4 The strategy takes into account the impact of the Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme on the Balance Sheet position, the current and projected Treasury position, the Prudential Indicators and the outlook for interest rates.
- 1.5 The purpose of this report is to propose:
 - Treasury Management Strategy Borrowing in Section 3, Investments in Section 4
 - Prudential Indicators these are detailed throughout the report and summarised in Annex 2
 - MRP Statement Section 7
- 1.6 The Council originally adopted the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in May 2002. At its meeting on 22nd February 2010 the Council adopted the revised CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management. The Council has incorporated the changes from the revised CIPFA Code of Practice into its treasury policies, procedures and practices. All treasury activity will comply with relevant statute, guidance and accounting standards. Adoption of the Code is one of the Prudential Indicators.

2. Balance Sheet and Treasury Position

2.1 The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes, as measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), together with Balances and Reserves, are the core drivers of Treasury Management activity. The estimates, based on the current proposed Revenue Budget and Capital Programmes, are:

Table 1: Treasury Position

Table 1. Heading 1 deliter				
	31/03/2011	31/03/2012	31/03/2013	31/03/2014
	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	£000	£000	£000	£000
General Fund CFR	281,164	268,465	261,365	252,521
HRA CFR	488,046	488,046	488,046	488,046
Total CFR	769,210	756,511	749,411	740,567
Less: Existing Profile of Borrowing & Other Long Term Liabilities	(713,976)	(667,476)	(625,476)	(578,780)
Cumulative Maximum External Borrowing Requirement	55,234	89,035	123,935	161,787
Unearmarked Balances & Reserves	(17,822)	(17,873)	(17,873)	(17,873)
Cumulative Net Borrowing Requirement /(Investments)	37,412	71,162	106,062	143,914

2.2 The Council's level of physical debt and investments is linked to these components of the Balance Sheet. The current portfolio position is set out at Annex 1. Market conditions, interest rate expectations and credit risk considerations will influence the Council's strategy in determining the borrowing and investment activity against the underlying Balance Sheet position. The Council will ensure that net physical external borrowing (i.e. net of investments) will not exceed the CFR other than for short term cash flow requirements.

2.3 Estimates of Capital Expenditure:

It is a requirement of the Prudential Code to ensure that capital expenditure remains within sustainable limits and, in particular, to consider the impact on Council Tax and in the case of the HRA, housing rent levels.

Table 2: Capital Expenditure

	2040/44	0040/44	0044440	0040440	0040444
	2010/11	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	Approved	Projected	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
		Actuals			
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Non-HRA	95,956	99,115	42,671	40,000	30,426
HRA	52,642	55,033	17,526	14,843	13,543
Total	148,598	154,148	60,197	54,843	43,969

2.4 Capital expenditure is expected to be financed as follows:

Table 3: Capital Financing

	2010/11	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	Approved	Projected	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
		Actuals			
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Capital receipts	5,520	3,566	13,444	9,277	5,650
Other grants & contributions	4,943	10,166	7,786	12,977	14,088
Government Grants	67,160	69,766	24,724	12,008	6,966
Major Repairs Allowance	12,909	14,137	13,543	13,543	13,543
Revenue contributions	7,757	7,877	400	1,700	200
Total Financing	98,289	105,512	59,897	49,505	40,447
Borrowing	50,309	48,636	300	5,338	3,522
Total	148,598	154,148	60,197	54,843	43,969

2.5 <u>Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions:</u>

As an indicator of affordability the table overleaf shows the impact of capital investment decisions on Council Tax and Housing Rent levels. The incremental impact is calculated by comparing the total revenue budget requirement of the current approved capital programme with an equivalent calculation of the revenue budget requirement arising from the proposed capital programme.

2.6 As reported in the treasury monitoring reports during 2010/11 the indicators set in February 2010 are actually the total of Band D council tax and housing rent, rather than the incremental impact relating to capital decisions. This is the reason the approved figures for 2010/11 are much higher than future years. The reduction between 2010/11 and 2011/12 onwards is due to the reduction in the amount of capital expenditure being funded through borrowing shown in Table 3 above.

Table 4: Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions

	2010/11	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	Approved	Projected	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
		Actual			
	£	£	£	£	£
Increase in Band D Council Tax	1,184.32	9.96	1.00	1.88	1.95
Increase in Average Weekly Housing Rents	83.20	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00

- 2.7 Reform to the Council Housing Subsidy System: CLG consulted on proposals to reform the council housing subsidy system in July 2010. The consultation proposed a removal of the subsidy system by offering a one-off reallocation of debt. Details of the new system will be announced in January 2011, and will be introduced in the Localism Bill to enable the new system to start in 2012. For the Council, this is expected to equate to a reduction in debt of £236m. CLG proposes to settle this by repaying a proportion of each of the Council's PWLB loans.
- 2.8 The estimate for interest payments in 2011/12 is £41.3m and for interest receipts is £0.28m. The ratio of financing costs to the Council's net revenue stream is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet borrowing costs. The ratio is based on costs net of investment income.

Table 5: Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

	2010/11	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	Approved	Projected	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
		Actual			
	%	%	%	%	%
Non-HRA	4.67	5.52	4.95	4.04	3.72
HRA	33.39	31.36	31.90	29.87	28.84

3. Borrowing Strategy

- 3.1 The Council's balance of actual gross borrowing plus other long-term liabilities is shown in Annex 1. This is measured in a manner consistent for comparison with the Operational Boundary and Authorised Limit.
- 3.2 The Authorised Limit sets the maximum level of external borrowing on a gross basis (i.e. not net of investments) and is the statutory limit determined under Section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2003 (referred to in the legislation as the Affordable Limit).

Table 6: Authorised Limit for External Debt

	2010/11	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	Approved	Projected	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
		Actual			
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Borrowing	860,455	663,811	861,544	857,239	851,332
Other Long-term Liabilities	39,545	50,165	85,335	80,110	74,606
Total	900,000	713,976	946,879	937,349	925,938

3.3 The Operational Boundary links directly to the Council's estimates of the CFR and estimates of other cashflow requirements. This indicator is based on the same estimates as the Authorised Limit reflecting the most likely, prudent but not worst case scenario but without the additional headroom included within the Authorised Limit.

Table 7: Operational Boundary for External Debt

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	2010/11	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	Approved	Projected	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
		Actual			
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Borrowing	835,455	663,811	761,544	757,239	751,332
Other Long-term Liabilities	39,545	50,165	56,890	53,407	49,737
Total	875,000	713,976	818,434	810,646	801,069

- 3.4 The Director of Corporate Resources has delegated authority, within the total limit for any individual year, to effect movement between the separately agreed limits for borrowing and other long-term liabilities. Decisions will be based on the outcome of financial option appraisals and best value considerations. Any movement between these separate limits will be reported to the next meeting of the General Purposes Committee.
- 3.5 In conjunction with advice from its treasury management adviser, Arlingclose Ltd, the Council will keep under review the following borrowing options:
 - PWLB loans
 - Borrowing from other local authorities
 - Borrowing from institutions such as the European Investment Bank and directly from Commercial Banks
 - Borrowing from the Money Markets
 - Local authority stock issues
 - Structured finance

- 3.6 The PWLB issued a new set of lending arrangements on 20th October 2010, following the Spending Review announcement, which increase the cost of new local authority fixed rate loans to 1% above the cost of the Government's borrowing. Despite this, the PWLB remains an attractive source of borrowing, given the transparency and control that its facilities continue to provide. The types of PWLB borrowing that are considered appropriate for a low interest rate environment are:
 - Variable rate borrowing
 - Medium-term Equal Instalments of Principal (EIP) or Annuity Loans
 - Long-term Maturity loans, where affordable.
- 3.7 Capital expenditure levels, market conditions and interest rate levels will be monitored during the year in order to minimise borrowing costs over the medium to longer term and to maintain stability. The differential between debt costs and investment earnings, despite long term borrowing rates being at low levels, remains acute and this is expected to remain a feature during 2011/12. The "cost of carry" associated with medium and long term borrowing compared to temporary investment returns means that new fixed rate borrowing could entail additional short-term costs. The continued use of internal resources in lieu of borrowing is likely to remain the most cost effective means of financing capital expenditure during 2011/12.
- 3.8 PWLB variable rates are expected to remain low as the Bank Rate is maintained at historically low levels for an extended period. Exposure to variable interest rates will be kept under regular review. Each time the spread between long-term rates and variable rates narrows by 0.50%, this will trigger a formal review point and options will be considered in conjunction with the Council's treasury management adviser and decisions taken on whether to retain the same exposure or change from variable to fixed rate debt. The Council's existing PWLB variable rate loan borrowed prior to 20th October 2010 will be maintained on it's initial terms and is not subject to the additional increased margin for new variable rate loans.
- 3.9 The Council has £125m of loans which are LOBO loans (Lender's Options Borrower's Option) of which £75m of loans are currently in or will be in their call period in 2011/12. In the event that the lender exercises the option to change the rate or terms of the loan, the Council will consider the terms being provided and also repayment of the loan without penalty. The Council may utilise cash resources for repayment or may consider replacing the loan(s) by borrowing from the PWLB. The default response will however be early repayment without penalty.
- 3.10 The rationale for considering any possible rescheduling opportunities during the year would be one or more of the following:
 - · Savings in interest costs with minimal risk
 - Balancing the volatility profile (i.e. the ratio of fixed to variable rate debt) of the debt portfolio
 - Amending the profile of maturing debt to reduce any inherent refinancing risks.

As opportunities arise, they will be identified by Arlingclose and discussed with the Council's officers. Borrowing and rescheduling activity will be reported to General Purposes Committee as part of the quarterly monitor reports.

- 3.11 The following Prudential Indicators allow the Council to manage the extent to which it is exposed to changes in interest rates. The upper limit for variable rate exposure has been set to ensure that the Council is not exposed to interest rate rises which could adversely impact on the revenue budget. The limit allows for the use of variable rate debt to offset exposure to changes in short-term rates on investments.
- 3.12 The Council's existing level of fixed interest rate exposure is 98.5% and variable rate exposure is 1.5%, however it is recommended that the limits in place for 2010/11 are maintained in future to retain flexibility.

Table 8: Fixed and Variable Interest Rate Exposure

	2010/11 Approved %	2010/11 Actual %	2011/12 Estimate %	2012/13 Estimate %	2013/14 Estimate %
Upper Limit for Fixed Interest Rate Exposure	100	98.5	100	100	100
Upper Limit for Variable Interest Rate Exposure	40	1.5	40	40	40

3.13 The Council will also limit and monitor large concentrations of fixed rate debt needing to be replaced. Limits in the following table are intended to control excessive exposures to volatility in interest rates when refinancing maturing debt.

Table 9: Maturity Structure of fixed rate borrowing

	Lower Limit %	Upper Limit %
under 12 months	0	25
12 months & within 2 years	0	25
2 years & within 5 years	0	50
5 years & within 10 years	0	60
10 years & within 20 years	0	60
20 years & within 30 years	0	60
30 years & within 40 years	0	60
40 years & within 50 years	0	60
50 years & above	0	60

4. Investment Policy and Strategy

- 4.1 Guidance from the Communities and Local Government Department (CLG) on Local Government Investments in England requires that an Annual Investment Strategy be set.
- 4.2 The Council's investment priorities are, in this order:
 - security of the invested capital;
 - liquidity of the invested capital:
 - an optimum yield which is commensurate with security and liquidity.
- 4.3 Investments are categorised as 'Specified' or 'Non Specified' investments based on the criteria in the CLG Guidance. Instruments proposed for the Council's use within its investment strategy are contained in Annex 4 and the list of proposed counterparties is shown in Annex 5. The Director of Corporate Resources, under delegated powers, will undertake the most appropriate form of investments in keeping with the investment objectives, income and risk management requirements and Prudential Indicators. Investment activity will be reported to General Purposes Committee as part of the quarterly reports.
- 4.4 With all investments the Council makes there is a risk of default, so the proposed list of investments is prepared to minimise this risk by being selective about the counterparties to be used. They are then subjected to continual monitoring, in conjunction with the Council's treasury management advisers, to ensure that they continue to meet the high standard set. The range of information used to determine creditworthiness is:
 - Credit Ratings (minimum long-term A+ for counterparties; AAA for countries)
 - Credit Default Swaps (where quoted)
 - Net Debt as a Percentage of GDP for countries
 - Sovereign Support Mechanisms/potential support from a wellresourced parent institution
 - Share Prices
 - Macro-economic indicators
 - Corporate developments, news and articles, market sentiment.
- 4.5 If the monitoring reveals any concern about a counterparty's creditworthiness, it will be removed from the lending list with immediate effect. In any period of significant stress in the markets, the default position is for investments to be made with the Debt Management Office either in the Debt Management Account Deposit Facility (DMADF) or UK Treasury Bills. (The rates of interest from the DMADF are below equivalent money market rates, but the returns are an acceptable trade-off for the guarantee that the Council's capital is secure.)

- 4.6 The changes to the list of specified counterparties proposed for 2011/12 are:
 - Addition of Nat West and Standard Chartered to the list of UK banks
 - Deposits with non UK banks

There is also a proposed addition to the list of instruments which can be used:

- UK Treasury Bills issued by the Debt Management Office
- 4.7 The Council's treasury management advisers have recommended the addition of UK banks Standard Chartered and Nat West following an improvement in the ratings of the former and the confirmation of the long term future of the latter within the RBS Group. UK Treasury Bills are issued by HM Treasury's Debt Management Office and so are guaranteed by the UK government. They are proposed for inclusion as they offer a better rate of return than the DMADF, albeit with less flexibility on term, with the same level of security.
- 4.8 In order to provide a wider range of creditworthy counterparties for the Council to invest with, it is proposed to add a limited range of non-UK banks to the Council's lending list. The banks it is proposed to add are all based in AAA rated European countries and have minimum long term credit ratings of A+ and in fact many are more highly rated than the UK banks already on the list.
- 4.9 The UK Bank Rate has been maintained at 0.5% since March 2009, and is anticipated to remain at low levels throughout 2011/12. Short-term money market rates are likely to remain at very low levels for an extended period which will have a significant impact on investment income.
- 4.10 To protect against a prolonged period of low interest rates and to provide certainty of income, 2-year deposits and longer-term secure investments may be considered within the limits proposed for Non-Specified Investments (see Annex 4). If longer-term investments are considered they would include:
 - Term Deposits with counterparties rated at least A+ (or equivalent)
 - Supranational Bonds (bonds issued by multilateral development banks): Even at the lower yields likely to be in force, the return on these bonds will provide certainty of income against an outlook of low official interest rates.
- 4.11 The Council has placed an upper limit for principal sums invested for over 364 days, as required by the Prudential Code. This limit is to contain exposure to the possibility of loss that may arise as a result of the Council having to seek early repayment of the sums invested.

Table 10: Upper Limit for total principal sums invested over 364 days

	2010/11	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	Approved	Projected	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
		Actual			
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Principal	60,000	0	20,000	20,000	20,000

5. Outlook for interest Rates

- 5.1 The economic interest rate outlook provided by the Council's treasury management adviser, Arlingclose Ltd, is attached at Annex 3. The Council will reappraise its strategy from time to time and, if needs be, realign it with evolving market conditions and expectations for future interest rates.
- The interest rate outlook shows that short term rates are expected to remain significantly lower than long term rates throughout 2011/12. For this reason it is anticipated that cash balances will kept at a minimum throughout the financial year as the "cost of carry" will be significant for any borrowing taken before capital expenditure is incurred.

6. Balanced Budget Requirement

6.1 The Council complies with the provisions of Section 32 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 to set a balanced budget.

7. MRP Statement

- 7.1 The Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008 (SI 2008/414) place a duty on local authorities to make a prudent provision for debt redemption. Guidance on Minimum Revenue Provision has been issued by the Secretary of State and local authorities are required to "have regard" to such Guidance under section 21(1A) of the Local Government Act 2003.
- 7.2 The four MRP options available are:

Option 1: Regulatory Method

Option 2: CFR Method

Option 3: Asset Life Method

Option 4: Depreciation Method

7.3 MRP in 2011/12: Options 1 and 2 may be used only for supported expenditure. Methods of making prudent provision for self financed expenditure include Options 3 and 4 (which may also be used for supported expenditure if the Council chooses).

- 7.4 It is a requirement for Council to approve the MRP statement before the start of the financial year. If it is ever proposed to vary the terms of the original MRP Statement during the year, a revised statement will be put to Council at that time.
- 7.5 It is proposed the Council will apply Option 1 in respect of supported capital expenditure and Option 3 in respect of unsupported capital expenditure. This is a continuation of current practice. MRP in respect of leases brought onto the Balance Sheet under the IFRS-based Code of Practice will match the annual principal repayment for the associated deferred liability.

8. Monitoring and Reporting

- 8.1 General Purposes Committee will receive a quarterly report on treasury management activity and performance. This will include monitoring of the prudential indicators.
- 8.2 It is a requirement of the Treasury Management Code of Practice that an outturn report on treasury activity is produced after the financial year end, no later than 30th September. This will be reported to General Purposes Committee in advance of Cabinet and Council. Audit Committee will be responsible for the scrutiny of treasury management activity and practices.
- 8.3 Officers monitor counterparties on a daily basis with advice from the Council's treasury management advisers to ensure that any creditworthiness concerns are addressed as soon as they arise. Senior management hold monthly meetings with the officers undertaking treasury management to monitor activity and to ensure all policies and procedures are being followed.

9. Other Issues

9.1 Training

CIPFA's Treasury Management Code of Practice requires the Director of Corporate Resources to ensure that all members tasked with treasury management responsibilities, including scrutiny of the treasury management function, receive appropriate training relevant to their needs and understand fully their roles and responsibilities.

9.2 Regular training sessions are arranged for members to keep their knowledge up to date. This included a Treasury Management Strategy training session on 11th January 2011.

9.3 Investment Consultants

The CLG's Guidance on local government investments recommends that the Investment Strategy should state:

"Whether and, if so, how the authority uses external contractors offering information, advice or assistance relating to investment and how the quality of any such service is controlled."

9.4 The Council has appointed Arlingclose Limited to provide information and advice about the types of investment the Council should undertake and the counterparties that should be used. Quarterly service review meetings take place to monitor the service and the appointment is formally reviewed in accordance with the Council's Contract Standing Orders.

ANNEX 1

Current and Projected Portfolio Position

					<u> </u>
	Current	31 Mar 11	31 Mar 12	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14
	Portfolio	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	£000	£000	£000	000£	£000
External Borrowing:					
Fixed Rate – PWLB	460,806	490,806	529,106	530,106	527,706
Fixed Rate – Market	125,005	125,005	125,005	125,005	125,005
Variable Rate – PWLB	20,000	48,000	0	0	0
Variable Rate – Market	0	0	0	0	0
Total External Borrowing	605,811	663,811	654,111	655,111	652,711
Existing long-term					-
liabilities	2,625	2,539	2,442	2,334	2,213
IFRS Long Term					
Liabilities:					
- PFI	43,026	40,929	38,957	36,884	34,705
- Operating Leases	7,351	6,697	6,010	5,288	4,530
Total Gross External	658,813	713,976	701,520	699,617	694,159
Debt	·	ŕ	·		
Total Investments	20,000	20,000	40,000	40,000	40,000
(Net Borrowing					
Position)/	(638,813)	(693,976)	(661,520)	(659,617)	(654,159)
Net Investment		`	, , , , ,	, , , ,	, , , , , , ,
position					

Summary of Prudential Indicators

No.	Prudential Indicator	2011/12		2012/13		2013/14	
CAP	ITAL INDICATORS				· · · · · ·		
1	Capital Expenditure	£60,197k		£54,843k		£43,969k	
2	Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream						
	General Fund	4.95%		4.04%		3.72%	
	HRA	31.90%		29.87%		28.84%	
3	Capital Financing Requirement	£756,511k		£749,411k		£740,567k	
4	Incremental impact of capital investment decisions						
	Band D Council Tax	£1.00		£1.88		£1.95	
	Weekly Housing rents	£0.02		£0.00		£0.00	
TRE	ASURY MANAGEMENT LIN	/IITS					
5	Authorised Limit	£946,879k		£937,349k		£925,938k	
	Operational Boundary	£818,434k		£810,646k		£801,069k	
6	Upper limit – fixed rate exposure	100%		100%		100%	
	Upper limit – variable rate exposure	40%		40%		40%	
7	Maturity structure of borrowing (U: upper, L: lower)	L	U	L	U	L	U
	under 12 months	0%	25%	0%	25%	0%	25%
	12 months & within 2 yrs	0%	25%	0%	25%	0%	25%
	2yrs & within 5 yrs	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	50%
	5 yrs & within 10 yrs	0%	60%	0%	60%	0%	60%
	10 yrs & within 20 yrs	0%	60%	0%	60%	0%	60%
	20 yrs & within 30 yrs	0%	60%	0%	60%	0%	60%
	30 yrs & within 40 yrs	0%	60%	0%	60%	0%	60%
	40 yrs & within 50 yrs	0%	60%	0%	60%	0%	60%
	50 yrs & above	0%	60%	0%	60%	0%	60%
8	Sums invested for more than 364 days	£20,000k		£20,000k		£20,000k	
9	Adoption of CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice	V		1		V	